



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,473	09/01/2006	Hideki Etori	ETOR3005/GAL	1454
23364	7590	10/16/2008	EXAMINER	
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE FOURTH FLOOR ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-1176			DUNWIDDIE, MEGHAN K	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		2875		
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
10/16/2008	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/591,473	Applicant(s) ETORI, HIDEKI
	Examiner MEGHAN K. DUNWIDDIE	Art Unit 2875

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 June 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 3-25 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 3-25 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is a Non-Final Rejection in response to the amendment received June 30, 2008 by **Etori**.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed June 30, 2008 have been fully considered and are partially persuasive. Applicant has satisfied part of the 112 rejection requirement with respect to the first standard JIS K7361-1:1997, but has not satisfied the 112 rejection requirement with respect to the second standard JIS K7136:2000. Appropriate correction is requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 5-8, 12, 15, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant refers to measurement method defined in JIS K7136:2000 within the claims. These measurement methods would not be known to one of ordinary skill in the art and one of ordinary skill would not be able to make and or use the device based on applicant's present disclosure and because these measurements methods are not defined within applicant's present disclosure the present claims are indefinite. In order for the

applicant to overcome this rejection the specification and claims would need to be amended to include the measurement methods. As is best understood by the Examiner the present claims of the application have been examined and rejected below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 3, 4, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17, 20-22 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Masaki et al.** (US 6505959).

6. In reference to Claim 3, **Masaki et al.** shows a light control film having:

- A rough surface as one surface and a substantially smooth surface as the other surface [Figure 5: (10A)].

7. In reference to Claim 4, **Masaki et al.** shows a light control film having:

- A rough surface as one surface and a substantially smooth surface as the other surface [Figure 5: (10A)].

8. In reference to Claim 11, **Masaki** et al. shows a light control film having:
 - A rough surface as one surface and a substantially smooth surface as the other surface [Figure 5: (10A)].
9. In reference to Claims 13 and 20, **Masaki** et al. shows:
 - A light guide plate equipped with a light source on at least one end portion thereof and having a light emergent surface approximately perpendicular to the end portion and a light control film provided on the light emergent surface of the light guide plate [Figure 5: (22, 21, 10A)].
10. In reference to Claims 14 and 21, **Masaki** et al. shows:
 - The light control film is disposed so that the substantially smooth surface faces the light guide plate [Figure 5: (10A and 22)]
11. In reference to Claims 16, 17, 22 and 25, **Masaki** et al. shows:
 - A prism sheet is used between the light control film and the light guide plate [Figure 5: (10B)].
12. Claims 3, 4, 9, 10, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Parker** et al. (US 6752505).

13. In reference to Claim 3, **Parker** et al. shows a light control film having:
 - A rough surface as one surface and a substantially smooth surface as the other surface [Figure 1: (2)].
14. In reference to Claim 4, **Parker** et al. shows a light control film having:
 - A rough surface as one surface and a substantially smooth surface as the other surface [Figure 1: (2)].
15. In reference to Claims 9 and 18, **Parker** et al. shows:
 - A light source, a light diffusive plate provided on one side of the light source and a light control film provided on the side of the light diffusive plate opposite to the light source side [Figure 1: (26, 30, and 2)]
16. In reference to Claims 10 and 19, **Parker** et al. shows:
 - The light control film is disposed so that the substantially smooth surface faces the light source [Figure 1: (2 and 26)].
17. In reference to Claim 13, **Parker** et al shows:
 - A light guide plate equipped with a light source on at least one end portion thereof and having a light emergent surface approximately perpendicular to the end portion and a light control film provided on the light emergent surface of the light guide plate [Figure 1: (unlabeled light guide, 26, and 2)].

18. In reference to Claim 14, **Parker** et al. shows:
 - The light control film is disposed so that the substantially smooth surface faces the light guide plate [Figure 1: (unlabeled light guide and 2)].
19. Claims 5-8, 12, 15, 23 and 24 would not be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office Action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MEGHAN K. DUNWIDDIE whose telephone number is (571)272-8543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571)272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sandra L. O'Shea/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art
Unit 2875

MKD